



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Jefferson. The manuscript history of the "Newmarket" Baylors states that Gregory⁸ Baylor had a son Robert⁹ Baylor who married twice and that it was from this Robert Baylor that the Baylors of Kinloch, Essex Co. Va. sprung.

- (5) Richard⁸ Baylor. (Robert⁷ Baylor; Lucy⁶, Thomas⁵ Todd). Living November 1st, 1748, when Robert Stubbsfield and Ann his wife conveyed to Richard Baylor of King and Queen County merchant, four hundred acres in St. George's Parish, Spotsylvania County. (Crozier's Va. Rec.—Spotsylvania Co.; 179). This may be one of the tracts which the heirs of his brother Robert Baylor conveyed April 13th 1769, the deed reciting that the land had descended to Robert Baylor from his brother, Richard Baylor (idem; 267 & see Robert⁸ Baylor ante). It would appear from this that Richard Baylor⁸ probably died unmarried. Nothing further is known in regard to him.

YEARDLEY—FLOWERDEWE—WEST.

NOTES FROM ENGLISH RECORDS IN REFERENCE TO THE YEARDLEY, FLOWERDEWE AND WEST FAMILIES. FURNISHED FROM ENGLAND AT THE INSTANCE OF MR. GRIFFIN C. CALLAHAN, PHILADELPHIA, PA.

(Continued.)

P. R. O. Chancery Proceedings, Charles I.
 Bundle R. 20. No. 22.

Edmund Rossingham of London, gentleman, plaintiff,
 v.

Ralph Yardley, of London, apothecary.

[Abstract.] Bill dated 1 March 1629-30.

The plaintiff shews that he was persuaded by Sir George Yardley to adventure with him into Virginia, when the said Sir George was governor of that plantation. He went the more willingly because the said Sir George was then married to the sister of the plaintiff's mother. He made many journeys into England, Holland & elsewhere on Sir George's behalf, and also left with Sir George in Virginia a stock of cattle which were of the proper goods of the plaintiff, for all of which he ought to have received £400 at least. Nothing was paid during the lifetime of Sir George Yardley, & after his death about three years ago in Virginia, administration of his estate was granted to his brother, the defendant. He utterly declines to make any payment to the plaintiff for the said debt. The plaintiff thereupon applied to the Privy Council and was

awarded £200 out of Sir George's estate, which sum the defendant utterly refuses to pay. He prays that a writ of subpena may be directed to the said Ralph Yardley.

Answer dated 10 March 1629-30.

The defendant believes that Sir George Yardley married the sister of the plaintiff's mother, but he does not think that he was persuaded by Sir George to go to Virginia to assist him in his affairs, but that he went there in the hope of advancing himself by his own endeavours. He believes that Sir George employed the plaintiff to sell his tobacco in Holland, but he has often heard Sir George complain that he had very much prejudiced him in that employment. The plaintiff told this defendant that Sir George had paid him £100 for his pains. He does not know that the plaintiff ever left any stock of cattle in Virginia with Sir George, but about Christmas 1625, Sir George being then in this defendant's house in London he heard the pltf. superficially ask Sir George how his stock did in Virginia, who said that he had been informed that his cow had a calf. Whereupon, the plaintiff being then indebted to this defendant seven pounds for lodging & physic, & estimating that the calf might be worth so much, he entreated Sir George to pay this defendant the said debt in lieu thereof, which he did, though he then affirmed that he would by no means have meddled therewith had it not been that this defendant was his brother. The defendant knows of the order made by the Privy Council, but before the said order was entered he petitioned to inform the Council of the equity of the cause on behalf of Sir George's children—Argoll, Francis & Elizabeth Yardley, and it was agreed between him & the plaintiff that the plaintiff should prefer his bill into this Court. If the plaintiff can prove that the money is really owing to him, the defendant will pay it to his uttermost ability.

P. R. O.

Chancery Proceedings. Charles I. Bundle R. 63. No. 108.

Edmond Rossingham of the Inner Temple, London, gentleman, plaintiff,

v.

Thomas Knevett of Ashfield Thorpe co. Norfolk, esquire, defendant.

[Abstract.] Bill dated——— 1640.

The plaintiff shows that in or about the year 1580 Anthony Flowerdewe late of Hethersett, co. Norfolk esq, and Martha his wife were seized in their demense as of freehold for the term only of their natural lives, and the life of the longer liver of them, the reversion or remainder expectant to the first son of the said Anthony and to the heirs male of such first son, and for want of such issue to the heirs of the body of the said Anthony and for want of such issue then to the right heirs of the said Anthony, of or in one capital messuage called Thickthorne and divers

other lands, woods, etc., containing about 400 acres being in the town & parish of Hethersett aforesaid. The aforesaid Anthony & Martha his wife are both dead, and Stanley Flowerdewe the only son of the said Anthony is dead also without direct heirs, so that the aforesaid messuage & lands ought to descend to the plaintiff by virtue of the said entail in remainder upon the aforesaid Anthiny Flowerdewe and the heirs of his body, he being son & heir of Marie Rossingham the wife of Dyonis Rossingham, gentleman, and daughter & heir of the said Anthony Flowerdewe. The plaintiff further shows that Edward Flowerdewe of Stanfield co. Norfolk, formerly one of the Barons of the Exchequer, being a near kinsman of the said Anthony was entrusted by him with all the deeds, court rolls & evidences concerning the premises and concerning other lands belonging to the said Anthony, for want of which deeds the plaintiff although heir in tail to the said messuage & premises, being now out of possession, is entirely prevented from recovering the same. All the said deeds & evidences have come into the hands of the defendant, whose grandfather Sir Thomas Knevett was executor to the said Edward Flowerdewe to whom they had been entrusted by the said Anthony.

Answer dated the 27th November 1640.

The defendant says that he does not know of what lands the plaintiff was seised, or whether he is heir to the said messuage & lands. Neither does he know whether his grandfather was executor to Baron Flowerdewe, or if the said Baron was entrusted by Anthony Flowerdewe with his deeds. But this defendant has in his custody divers evidences & deeds which were in the custody of his grandfather Sir Thomas Knevett concerning the premises named in the bill, and he believes they formerly belonged to the Flowerdews. He is quite willing to deliver the said deeds to the plaintiff, as he thinks they may be of much advantage to him in clearing his title to the premises in case he shall prove to be the heir as is alleged in the bill.

CALENDAR OF LICHFIELD WILLS—1516-1652.

1534, James Yerdley; 1542, John Yardley, admon.; 1543, Nicholas Yardley; 1543, Hugh Yerdley; 1544, Robert Yerdley; 1546, John Yardley; 1557, John Yardley; 1557, John Yardeley; 1558, Humphrey Yardley; 1559, John Yardley; 1559, Robert Yardley; 1567, John Yardley; 1572, Richard Yardeley, admon.; 1577, John Yardeley; 1577, Ralph Yardeley; 1586, Thomas Yardley, admon.; 1588, William Yardley, admon.; 1588, Ralph Yardley; 1591, John Yardley; 1592, James Yardley; 1592, Henry Yardley, admon.; 1592, John Yardley, admon.; 1593, Alice Yardeley; 1599, James Yardley, admon.; 1608, Joan Yardley; 1609, Ralph Yardley; 1612, John Yeardeley; 1613,